

ON THE PROPERTIES OF THE EXCHANGE GRAPH OF A CLUSTER ALGEBRA

MIKHAIL GEKHTMAN, MIKHAIL SHAPIRO, AND ALEK VAINSHTEIN

ABSTRACT. We prove a conjecture about the vertices and edges of the exchange graph of a cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}$ in two cases: when $\mathcal{A}$ is of geometric type and when $\mathcal{A}$ is arbitrary and its exchange matrix is nondegenerate. In the second case we also prove that the exchange graph does not depend on the coefficients of $\mathcal{A}$. Both conjectures were formulated recently by Fomin and Zelevinsky.

1. MAIN DEFINITIONS AND RESULTS

To state our results, recall the definition of a cluster algebra; for details see [FZ1, FZ4].

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a semifield, that is, a free multiplicative abelian group of a finite rank m with generators $g_1, \dots, g_m$ endowed with an additional operation $\oplus$, which is commutative, associative and distributive with respect to the multiplication. As an *ambient field* we take the field $\mathcal{F}$ of rational functions in n independent variables with coefficients in the field of fractions of the integer group ring $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{P}$. A square matrix B is called *skew-symmetrizable* if DB is skew-symmetric for a non-degenerate non-negative diagonal matrix D . A *seed* is a triple $\Sigma = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, B)$, where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a transcendence basis of $\mathcal{F}$ over the field of fractions of $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{P}$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is an n -tuple of elements of $\mathcal{P}$ and B is a skew-symmetrizable integer $n \times n$ matrix. The components of the seed are called the *cluster*, the *coefficient tuple* and the *exchange matrix*, respectively; the entries of $\mathbf{x}$ are called *cluster variables*.

A *seed mutation* in direction $k \in [1, n]$ takes Σ to an *adjacent* seed $\Sigma' = (\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}', B')$ whose components are defined as follows. The adjacent cluster $\mathbf{x}'$ is given by $\mathbf{x}' = (\mathbf{x} \setminus \{x_k\}) \cup \{x'_k\}$, where the new cluster variable x'_k is defined by the *exchange relation*

$$(1) \quad x_k x'_k = \frac{y_j}{y_j \oplus 1} \prod_{b_{ki} > 0} x_i^{b_{ki}} + \frac{1}{y_j \oplus 1} \prod_{b_{ki} < 0} x_i^{-b_{ki}},$$

Date: February 2, 2008.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E46.

Key words and phrases. Cluster algebra, exchange graph, compatible 2-form.

The authors were supported in part by BSF Grant #2002375.

The first author was supported in part by NSF Grant #0400484.

The second author was supported in part by PHY Grant #0555346 and DMS Grant #0401178.

where, as usual, the product over the empty set is assumed to be equal to 1. The adjacent coefficient tuple $\mathbf{y}'$ is given by

$$(2) \quad y'_j = \begin{cases} y_k^{-1} & \text{if } j = k, \\ y_j y_k^{b_{jk}} (y_k \oplus 1)^{-b_{jk}} & \text{if } j \neq k \text{ and } b_{jk} > 0, \\ y_j (y_k \oplus 1)^{-b_{jk}} & \text{if } j \neq k \text{ and } b_{jk} \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Finally, the adjacent exchange matrix B' is given by

$$(3) \quad b'_{ij} = \begin{cases} -b_{ij}, & \text{if } i = k \text{ or } j = k; \\ b_{ij} + \frac{|b_{ik}|b_{kj} + b_{ik}|b_{kj}|}{2}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Two seeds are called *mutation equivalent* if they can be obtained one from another by a sequence of seed mutations. The *cluster algebra* $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(\Sigma)$ associated with Σ is the $\mathbb{ZP}$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}$ generated by all cluster variables in all seeds mutation equivalent to Σ ; n is said to be the *rank* of $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma)$.

We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is of *geometric type* if $\mathcal{P}$ is a *tropical semifield*, that is, if $\oplus$ is defined by

$$\prod_{i=1}^m g_i^{a_i} \oplus \prod_{i=1}^m g_i^{b_i} = \prod_{i=1}^m g_i^{\min(a_i, b_i)}.$$

For a cluster algebra of geometric type, each entry of the coefficient tuple $\mathbf{y}$ can be represented as

$$(4) \quad y_i = \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{a_{ij}}$$

for some integer a_{ij} . Redenote the generators $g_1, \dots, g_m$ by $x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+m}$ and call them *stable variables*; together with the cluster variables, they form an *extended cluster*. Define an *extended exchange matrix* $\tilde{B}$ as an $n \times (n+m)$ matrix whose ij th entry equals b_{ij} for $i \in [1, n]$ and $a_{i-n, j}$ for $i \in [n+1, n+m]$. For an arbitrary matrix A , denote by $A[k; l]$ the submatrix of A occupying the first k rows and the first l columns; with this notation, the relation between B and $\tilde{B}$ is given by $B = \tilde{B}[n; n]$.

Transformation rules (1) for cluster algebras of geometric type can be rewritten as

$$(5) \quad x_k x'_k = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n+m \\ b_{ki} > 0}} x_i^{b_{ki}} + \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n+m \\ b_{ki} < 0}} x_i^{-b_{ki}}.$$

Transformation rules (2) imply that mutations of the extended exchange matrix are governed by the same matrix mutation rules (3). The corresponding cluster algebra of geometric type is denoted $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{B})$.

A convenient tool in dealing with cluster algebras is the n -regular tree $\mathbb{T}_n$. Its vertices correspond to seeds, and two vertices are connected by an edge labeled by k if and only if the corresponding seeds are adjacent in direction k . The edges of $\mathbb{T}_n$ are thus labeled by the numbers $1, \dots, n$ so that the n edges emanating from each vertex receive different labels. Two seeds obtained one from the other by an arbitrary permutation of $\mathbf{x}$ and the corresponding permutation of $\mathbf{y}$ and of the rows and columns of $\tilde{B}$ are called *equivalent*. The *exchange graph* of a cluster algebra is defined as the quotient of the tree $\mathbb{T}_n$ modulo this equivalence relation.

The following conjectures about the exchange graph of a cluster algebra were formulated in [FZ3].

Conjecture 1. *The exchange graph of a cluster algebra depends only on the initial exchange matrix B .*

Conjecture 2. (i) *Every seed is uniquely defined by its cluster; thus, the vertices of the exchange graph can be identified with the clusters, up to a permutation of cluster variables.*

(ii) *Two clusters are adjacent in the exchange graph if and only if they have exactly $n - 1$ common cluster variables.*

In [FZ2] both conjectures are proved for cluster algebras of finite type. Besides, in [BMRT] Conjecture 2(i) was proved for cluster algebras of geometric type with no stable variables with a skew-symmetric exchange matrix satisfying an additional acyclicity condition.

In this note we prove the following two results. Denote by $\mathfrak{A}(B)$ the family of all cluster algebras obtained by fixing the initial cluster $\mathbf{x}$ and the initial exchange matrix B and varying the coefficient tuple $\mathbf{y}$.

Theorem 3. *Conjecture 2 holds true for a cluster algebra $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{A}(B)$ in the following cases:*

- (i) *when $\mathcal{A}$ is of geometric type;*
- (ii) *when $\mathcal{A}$ is arbitrary and B is nondegenerate.*

Theorem 4. *Let B be nondegenerate, then the exchange graphs of all cluster algebras in $\mathfrak{A}(B)$ coincide.*

The work on this research project started during the visit of M. G. and M. S. to the Haifa Interdisciplinary Research Center for Advanced Computer Science in Fall 2006 and completed during the visit of A. V. to the Michigan State University in Spring 2007. The authors are grateful to these institutions for hospitality and stimulating atmosphere. The authors also thank A. Zelevinsky for attracting their attention to [BMRT].

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

In what follows we write $x_{i;\Sigma}$, $y_{i;\Sigma}$ and $b_{ij;\Sigma}$ to indicate that the corresponding cluster variables, coefficients and entries of the exchange matrix are related to a seed Σ .

The first part of Conjecture 2 is equivalent to the following one: suppose that the seeds Σ_1 and Σ_2 satisfy relations

$$(6) \quad x_{i;\Sigma_2} = x_{\sigma(i);\Sigma_1}$$

for some $\sigma \in S_n$ and any $i \in [1, n]$, then

$$(7) \quad y_{i;\Sigma_2} = y_{\sigma(i);\Sigma_1}$$

for $i \in [1, n]$ and

$$(8) \quad b_{ij;\Sigma_2} = b_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j);\Sigma_1}$$

for any $i, j \in [1, n]$.

First, consider case (i) in Theorem 3. Following [GSV2], we say that a closed differential 2-form ω is *compatible* with the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{B})$ if for any extended cluster $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+m})$ one has

$$\omega = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n+m} \omega_{ij} \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \wedge \frac{dx_j}{x_j},$$

where ω_{ij} are constants. The matrix $\Omega = (\omega_{ij})$ is called the *coefficient matrix* of ω (with respect to $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$); evidently, Ω is skew-symmetric.

A square matrix A is *reducible* if there exists a permutation matrix P such that PAP^T is a block-diagonal matrix, and *irreducible* otherwise; $\rho(A)$ is defined as the maximal number of diagonal blocks in PAP^T . The partition into blocks defines an obvious equivalence relation $\sim$ on the rows (or columns) of A .

The following result is a generalization of Theorem 2.1 of [GSV2].

Theorem 5. *Assume that $\tilde{B}$ is D -skew-symmetrizable and does not have zero rows. Then all closed 2-forms compatible with $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{B})$ form a vector space of dimension $\rho(B) + \binom{m}{2}$, where $B = \tilde{B}[n;n]$. Moreover, the coefficient matrices of these 2-forms with respect to $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ are characterized by the equation $\Omega[n;n+m] = \Lambda D \tilde{B}$, where $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ with $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ whenever $i \sim j$. In particular, if B is irreducible, then $\Omega[n;n+m] = \lambda D \tilde{B}$.*

Proof. Indeed, let ω be a 2-form compatible with $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{B})$. Then

$$\omega = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n+m} \omega_{jk} \frac{dx_j}{x_j} \wedge \frac{dx_k}{x_k} = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n+m} \omega'_{jk} \frac{dx'_j}{x'_j} \wedge \frac{dx'_k}{x'_k},$$

where x'_j is given by (5) and ω'_{jk} are the coefficients of ω with respect to $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}'$. Recall that the only variable in the extended cluster $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}'$ different from the corresponding variable in $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ is x_i , and

$$\frac{dx'_i}{x'_i} = -\frac{dx_i}{x_i} + \sum_{b_{ik} > 0} \frac{b_{ik}}{1 + \prod_{k=1}^{n+m} x_k^{-b_{ik}}} \frac{dx_k}{x_k} - \sum_{b_{ik} < 0} \frac{b_{ik}}{1 + \prod_{k=1}^{n+m} x_k^{b_{ik}}} \frac{dx_k}{x_k}.$$

Thus, for any $j \in [1, n+m]$ we immediately get

$$(9) \quad \omega'_{ij} = -\omega_{ij}.$$

Next, consider any pair $j, k \neq i$ such that both b_{ij} and b_{ik} are nonnegative, and at least one of the two is positive. Then

$$\omega_{jk} = \omega'_{jk} + \frac{\omega'_{ik} b_{ij} + \omega'_{ji} b_{ik}}{1 + \prod_{k=1}^{n+m} x_k^{-b_{ik}}}.$$

This equality can only hold if $\omega'_{ik} b_{ij} + \omega'_{ji} b_{ik} = 0$, which by (9) is equivalent to $\omega_{ij} b_{ik} = \omega_{ik} b_{ij}$. If both b_{ij} and b_{ik} are positive, this gives

$$(10) \quad \frac{\omega_{ij}}{b_{ij}} = \frac{\omega_{ik}}{b_{ik}} = \mu_i.$$

Otherwise, if, say, $b_{ij} = 0$, one gets $\omega_{ij} = 0$. Besides, in any case $\omega'_{jk} = \omega_{jk}$.

Similarly, if both b_{ij} and b_{ik} are nonpositive, and at least one of the two is negative, then

$$\omega_{jk} = \omega'_{jk} - \frac{\omega'_{ik} + \omega'_{ji} b_{ik}}{1 + \prod_{k=1}^{n+m} x_k^{b_{ik}}},$$

and hence the same relations as above hold true.

Finally, let $b_{ij} \cdot b_{ik} < 0$, say, $b_{ij} > 0$ and $b_{ik} < 0$; then

$$\omega_{jk} = \omega'_{jk} + \frac{\omega'_{ik} b_{ij} \prod_{k=1}^{n+m} x_k^{b_{ik}} - \omega'_{ji} b_{ik}}{1 + \prod_{k=1}^{n+m} x_k^{b_{ik}}},$$

which again leads to (10); the only difference is that in this case $\omega'_{jk} = \omega_{jk} + \omega_{ik} b_{ij}$.

We have thus obtained that $\Omega[n; n+m] = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \tilde{B}$. Recall that Ω is skew-symmetric; however, any skew-symmetrizer for $\tilde{B}$ can be written as ΛD , where $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ whenever $i \sim j$, which completes the proof. $\square$

Assume now that the initial extended matrix $\tilde{B}$ does not have zero rows. Then by Theorem 5 we can pick a closed 2-form ω compatible with $\mathcal{A}$ so that for any seed Σ holds

$$(11) \quad B_\Sigma = D^{-1} \Omega_\Sigma[n; n+m],$$

where D is a skew-symmetrizer of B and Ω_Σ stands for the coefficient matrix of Ω with respect to $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_\Sigma$. Since (6) implies $\omega_{ij; \Sigma_2} = \omega_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j); \Sigma_1}$, we get

$$(12) \quad b_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j); \Sigma_1} = d_{\sigma(i)}^{-1} \omega_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j); \Sigma_1} = d_{\sigma(i)}^{-1} \omega_{ij; \Sigma_2} = d_i d_{\sigma(i)}^{-1} b_{ij; \Sigma_2}.$$

Let us show that $d_i = d_{\sigma(i)}$. Indeed, relation (12) implies that σD is a skew-symmetrizer for B along with D . If B is irreducible then any two skew-symmetrizers coincide up to the multiplication by a positive constant, hence $d_i = \lambda d_{\sigma(i)}$ for some $\lambda > 0$. Iterating this equality we get $\lambda^k = 1$ for some integer $k > 1$, and hence $\lambda = 1$. If B is reducible then the same holds for any matrix mutation equivalent to B with the same partition into blocks, and hence σ cannot permute cluster variables from different blocks. Inside each block the previous reasoning remains valid. We thus proved that (8) holds true for any $i, j \in [1, n]$. Similarly, taking into account (11) and $d_i = d_{\sigma(i)}$ we get $b_{i, n+j; \Sigma_2} = b_{\sigma(i), n+j; \Sigma_1}$ for any $i, j \in [1, n]$. It remains to recall that for cluster algebras of geometric type the n -tuple $\mathbf{y}_\Sigma$ is completely defined by the matrix B_Σ via (4).

Assume now that $\tilde{B}$ has k zero rows. In this case $\mathcal{A}$ is a direct product of k copies of the cluster algebra of rank 1 and a cluster algebra defined by a $(n-k) \times (n+m)$ -submatrix of $\tilde{B}$ with no zero rows, for which the above reasoning applies.

Consider now case (ii). Define the *coefficient-free* cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\text{cf}}(B) \in \mathfrak{A}(B)$ as the cluster algebra over the one-element semifield $\{1\}$ obtained by mutations of the seed $(\mathbf{x}, 1, B)$. Clearly, the map that takes all elements of $\mathcal{P}$ to 1 commutes with the exchange relation (1). Therefore, (6) for an algebra $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{A}(B)$ implies the same relation for $\mathcal{A}_{\text{cf}}(B)$. Since the latter is of geometric type, the result of case (i) applies, and hence relation (8) holds true.

Following [FZ4], for an arbitrary cluster algebra $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{A}(B)$ introduce the n -tuple $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_\Sigma = (\hat{y}_{1; \Sigma}, \dots, \hat{y}_{n; \Sigma})$ by

$$\hat{y}_{j; \Sigma} = y_{j; \Sigma} \prod_{k=1}^n x_{k; \Sigma}^{b_{jk; \Sigma}}.$$

For cluster algebras of geometric type, in particular, for the coefficient-free algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\text{cf}}(B)$, this n -tuple coincides with τ -coordinates introduced in [GSV1]. Further, define $Y_{i; \Sigma}(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ as a rational subtraction-free expression for the coefficient $y_{i; \Sigma}$ via the initial coefficients $y_1, \dots, y_n$. The evaluation of $Y_{i; \Sigma}$ over $\mathcal{P}$ denoted by

$Y_{i;\Sigma}|\mathcal{P}$ gives the value of $y_{i;\Sigma}$ in the cluster algebra over $\mathcal{P}$; this operation is well-defined since any subtraction-free identity in the field of rational functions remains valid in any semifield (see [BFZ], Lemma 2.1.6).

By Proposition 3.9 in [FZ4],

$$\widehat{y}_{j;\Sigma} = Y_{j;\Sigma}|\mathcal{F}(\widehat{y}_1, \dots, \widehat{y}_n).$$

Therefore, (6) and (8) for $\mathcal{A}_{\text{cf}}(B)$ imply $Y_{i;\Sigma_2}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) = Y_{\sigma(i);\Sigma_1}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$.

Since B is nondegenerate, Lemma 1.1 in [GSV1] implies that the transformation $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \tau$ is a bijection, and hence $Y_{i;\Sigma_2}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = Y_{\sigma(i);\Sigma_1}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ for any set of variables $z_1, \dots, z_n$. Therefore, (7) holds for any cluster algebra $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{A}(B)$.

Remark 6. A similar idea is used in the proof of proposition 2.7 in [FoG].

To prove the second part of Conjecture 2, denote by $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$ the common variables in the two clusters and by $\dot{x}$ and $\ddot{x}$ the remaining variables; the clusters themselves will be denoted $\dot{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\ddot{\mathbf{x}}$, respectively. By Theorem 3.1 of [FZ1], $\ddot{x}$ can be written as a Laurent polynomial in $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \dot{x}$. Since each cluster transformation is birational, $\dot{x}$ enters this polynomial with exponent 1 or -1 ; we write this as $\ddot{x} = \mathcal{L}_0 + L_1 \dot{x}^{\pm 1}$ where L_0 and L_1 are Laurent polynomials in $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$. Denote by $\ddot{x}'$ the cluster variable in the cluster adjacent to $\ddot{\mathbf{x}}$ that replaces $\ddot{x}$, then

$$(13) \quad \ddot{x}' = \frac{M + N}{L_0 + L_1 \dot{x}^{\pm 1}},$$

where M and N are monomials in $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$. Since $\ddot{x}'$ is a Laurent polynomial in $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \dot{x}$, we immediately get $L_0 = 0$.

We have to consider two cases: $\ddot{x} = L_1 \dot{x}$ and $\ddot{x} = L_1 / \dot{x}$. In the first case we write down $\dot{x} = L_1^{-1} \ddot{x}$ and apply once again Theorem 3.1 of [FZ1] to see that L_1 is a Laurent monomial M_+ . In the second case we use (13) to get $\ddot{x}' = M_- \dot{x}$ for some Laurent monomial M_- . It remains to prove that both M_+ and M_- are identically equal to 1. In the first case this would mean $\ddot{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\dot{\mathbf{x}}$ have n common cluster variables, a contradiction. In the second case this would mean that $\dot{\mathbf{x}}$ is adjacent to $\ddot{\mathbf{x}}$ as required.

Assume that a variable x_i enters M_+ with a negative exponent $-k$, $k > 0$. Let x'_i denote the cluster variable that replaces x_i in the cluster adjacent to $\dot{\mathbf{x}}$ in the corresponding direction. Then $x_i x'_i = M_i + N_i$, where M_i and N_i are monomials in $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \dot{x}$. Therefore,

$$\ddot{x} = \frac{\dot{x} (x'_i)^k M'_+}{(M_i + N_i)^k},$$

where M'_+ is a Laurent monomial. We may assume that B does not have zero rows; for the case of nondegenerate B this condition holds trivially, and the geometric type is treated as before. Hence, the denominator in the above expression is a nontrivial polynomial, which contradicts the Laurent property. To handle the case of a positive exponent $k > 0$ we write $\dot{x} = M_+^{-1} \ddot{x}$ and proceed in a similar way using the variable x''_i that replaces x_i in the cluster adjacent to $\ddot{\mathbf{x}}$ in the corresponding direction. We thus obtained that M_+ is a constant. It is an easy exercise to prove that the constant is equal to 1. The case of M_- is handled similarly.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 4

Let B_{pr} be the $n \times 2n$ matrix whose principal part equals B and the remaining part is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. The corresponding algebra of geometric type $\mathcal{A}(B_{\text{pr}}) \in \mathfrak{A}(B)$ is called the algebra with *principal coefficients* and is denoted by $\mathcal{A}_{\text{pr}}(B)$. Note that for this algebra initial coefficients $y_1, \dots, y_n$ coincide with the stable variables $x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{2n}$.

The exchange graph of $\mathcal{A}'$ covers the exchange graph of $\mathcal{A}$ if the equivalence of two seeds in $\mathcal{A}'$ implies the equivalence of the corresponding two seeds in $\mathcal{A}$. It follows immediately from the definition that the exchange graph of any cluster algebra in $\mathfrak{A}(B)$ covers the exchange graph of the coefficient-free cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\text{cf}}(B)$. By Theorem 4.6 in [FZ4], the exchange graph of $\mathcal{A}_{\text{pr}}(B)$ covers the exchange graph of any $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{A}(B)$. Therefore, it suffices to prove that the exchange graphs for $\mathcal{A}_{\text{pr}}(B)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\text{cf}}(B)$ coincide.

Define $X_{i;\Sigma}(x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_n)$ as a rational function expressing the cluster variable $x_{i;\Sigma}$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\text{pr}}(B)$; further, define rational functions $G_{i;\Sigma}$ by $G_{i;\Sigma}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = X_{i;\Sigma}(x_1, \dots, x_n; 1, \dots, 1)$. By Theorem 3.7 in [FZ4], $G_{i;\Sigma}$ express the variables $x_{i;\Sigma}$ via the initial variables in the coefficient-free algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\text{cf}}(B)$.

By Theorem 3, we have to prove the following implication: if

$$(14) \quad G_{i;\Sigma_2} = G_{\sigma(i), \Sigma_1}$$

for some $\sigma \in S_n$, some seeds Σ_1, Σ_2 and any $i \in [1, n]$, then

$$X_{i;\Sigma_2} = X_{\sigma(i); \Sigma_1}$$

for any $i \in [1, n]$. The proof is based on the following lemma.

Lemma 7. *For any seed Σ there exist Laurent monomials $M_{i;\Sigma}$ such that*

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} & X_{i;\Sigma}(x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_n) \\ &= M_{i;\Sigma}(z_1, \dots, z_n) G_{i;\Sigma}(x_1 M_1(z_1, \dots, z_n), \dots, x_n M_n(z_1, \dots, z_n)), \quad i \in [1, n], \end{aligned}$$

where $M_i = M_{i;\Sigma_0}$, $\Sigma_0 = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, B)$ is the initial cluster and $z_i = y_i^{1/\det B}$.

Proof. Consider toric actions on $\mathcal{A}_{\text{pr}}(B)$ similar to those introduced in [GSV1]. Given an n -tuple of integer weights

$$\mathbf{w}_{\Sigma}^1 = (w_{1;\Sigma}^1, \dots, w_{2n;\Sigma}^1), \dots, \mathbf{w}_{\Sigma}^n = (w_{1;\Sigma}^n, \dots, w_{2n;\Sigma}^n)$$

for each seed Σ , define a *local* toric action by

$$(x_{1;\Sigma}, \dots, x_{2n;\Sigma}) \mapsto (t_1^{w_{1;\Sigma}^1} \dots t_n^{w_{1;\Sigma}^n} x_{1;\Sigma}, \dots, t_1^{w_{n;\Sigma}^1} \dots t_n^{w_{n;\Sigma}^n} x_{n;\Sigma}).$$

Two local toric actions at adjacent seeds are compatible if they commute with the transformation given by (5). If all local toric actions are compatible, they determine a *global* toric action on $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{B})$ called the extension of each of the local actions.

A slight modification of Lemma 2.3 in [GSV1] guarantees that if

$$\mathbf{w}^1 = (w_1^1, \dots, w_{2n}^1), \dots, \mathbf{w}^n = (w_1^n, \dots, w_{2n}^n)$$

satisfy $\tilde{B}(\mathbf{w}^j)^T = 0$ for all $j \in [1, n]$ then the local toric action at Σ_0 defined by $\mathbf{w}^1, \dots, \mathbf{w}^n$ can be extended to a global toric action.

Define the weights $\mathbf{w}^i$ as follows: the first n entries of $\mathbf{w}^i$ constitute the i th row of the matrix B^{-1} multiplied by $\det B$, while its last n entries constitute the i th row of the $n \times n$ -matrix $\text{diag}(-\det B, \dots, -\det B)$.

$$\begin{aligned} X_{i;\Sigma}(x_1 t_1^{w_1^1} \dots t_n^{w_n^1}, \dots, x_n t_1^{w_1^n} \dots t_n^{w_n^n}; y_1 t_1^{-\det B}, \dots, y_n t_n^{-\det B}) \\ = N_{i;\Sigma}(t_1, \dots, t_n) X_{i;\Sigma}(x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_n) \end{aligned}$$

for some Laurent monomials $N_{i;\Sigma}$. Define monomials M_i by

$$M_i(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \prod_{j=1}^n t_j^{w_j^i}.$$

Relation (15) follows from the above condition with $t_i = z_i$ and $M_{i;\Sigma} = N_{i;\Sigma}^{-1}$. $\square$

From (14) and (15) we get

$$X_{i;\Sigma_2} = M_{i;\Sigma_2} M_{\sigma(i);\Sigma_1}^{-1} X_{\sigma(i);\Sigma_1}.$$

Let us prove that the monomial $M(y_1, \dots, y_n) = M_{i;\Sigma_2} M_{\sigma(i);\Sigma_1}^{-1}$ is, in fact, trivial, that is, equals 1.

Indeed, assume that there exists a variable, say, y_1 , that enters M with a negative exponent $-k$, $k > 0$. Consider the $(n+1) \times 2n$ -matrix $\widehat{B}$ obtained from $(B_{\text{pr}})_{\Sigma_1}$ by adding the $(n+1)$ th row $(-d_1 b_{1,n+1;\Sigma_1}, \dots, -d_n b_{n,n+1;\Sigma_1}, 0, \dots, 0)$, where $d_1, \dots, d_n$ are the diagonal entries of D .

This matrix, together with the cluster $\widehat{\mathbf{x}} = (x_{1;\Sigma_1}, \dots, x_{n;\Sigma_1}, y_1)$ defines a cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\widehat{B})$ of rank $n+1$ with $m-1$ stable variables $y_2, \dots, y_m$. Put $\widehat{\Sigma}_1 = (\widehat{\mathbf{x}}, \widehat{B})$. The map $\widehat{\cdot}$ is naturally extended to all seeds of $\mathcal{A}_{\text{pr}}(B)$ so that if Σ, Σ' are adjacent in direction $k \in [1, n]$ then $\widehat{\Sigma}, \widehat{\Sigma}'$ are adjacent in the same direction. Clearly, $\mathcal{A}_{\text{pr}}(B)$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{A}(\widehat{B})$ to the first n variables. Therefore, one can use only mutations in directions $1, \dots, n$ in $\mathcal{A}(\widehat{B})$ to get

$$x_{i;\widehat{\Sigma}_2} = x_{n+1;\widehat{\Sigma}_1}^{-k} M'(y_2, \dots, y_n) x_{\sigma(i);\widehat{\Sigma}_1},$$

where $x_{n+1;\Sigma_1}$ is naturally identified with y_1 . The rest of the proof proceeds exactly as the proof of the second part of Conjecture 2 in Theorem 3.

REFERENCES

- [BFZ] A. Berenstein, S. Fomin, and A. Zelevinsky, *Parametrizations of canonical bases and totally positive matrices*. Adv. Math. **122** (1996), 49–149.
- [BMRT] A. Buan, R. Marsh, I. Reiten, and G. Todorov, *Clusters and seeds in acyclic cluster algebras*. Proc. AMS. ?? (200?), ???–???
- [FoG] V. Fock and A. Goncharov, *Cluster ensembles, quantization and the dilogorathm*, preprint, arxiv:math.AG/0311245
- [FZ1] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras. I. Foundations*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), 497–529.
- [FZ2] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras. II. Finite type classification*. Invent. Math. **154** (2003), 63–121.
- [FZ3] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras: notes for the CDM-03 conference*, Current developments in mathematics, 2003, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2003, pp. 1–34.
- [FZ4] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras. IV. Coefficients*. Compos. Math. ? (200?), ???–???
- [GSV1] M. Gekhtman, M. Shapiro, and A. Vainshtein, *Cluster algebras and Poisson geometry*. Mosc. Math. J. **3** (2003), 899–934.

[GSV2] M. Gekhtman, M. Shapiro, and A. Vainshtein, *Cluster algebras and Weil-Petersson forms*.
Duke Math. J. **127** (2005), 291–311.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME, INDIANA 46556
Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana
46556
E-mail address: mgekhtma@nd.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN
48823
E-mail address: mshapiro@math.msu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF
HAIFA, HAIFA, MOUNT CARMEL 31905, ISRAEL
E-mail address: alek@cs.haifa.ac.il